



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/072,776  | 02/11/2002  | Richard J. Manzolari | D/A0A46 (1508/3530)          | 2022                   |
| 7590<br>Gunnar G. Leinberg, Esq.<br>Nixon Peabody LLP<br>Clinton Square<br>P.O. Box 31051<br>Rochester, NY 14603-1051 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>STEELMAN, MARY J |                        |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>2191             | PAPER NUMBER           |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE<br>12/29/2006      | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                                      |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/072,776 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>MANZOLATI, RICHARD J. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Mary J. Steelman  | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2191                      |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mary J. Steelman. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) John Guay, Reg. No. 47,248. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 12 December 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 4.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent Application Publication 20040207862 A1.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed prior art and suggested that claim 4 is not properly rejected. Applicant intends to fax suggested amendments on 12/14/2006 to discuss.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required 12-13-2006